

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen
United States Courthouse
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk
Phone: (312) 435-5850
www.ca7.uscourts.gov

ORDER

March 22, 2024

Before

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Circuit Judge*
ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER, *Circuit Judge*
MICHAEL Y. SCUDDER, *Circuit Judge*

No. 23-3318	QUORDALIS V. SANDERS, Plaintiff - Appellant v. STATE OF WISCONSIN, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information:	
District Court No: 1:23-cv-01423-WCG Eastern District of Wisconsin District Judge William C. Griesbach	

The following are before the court:

1. **AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTIN FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS**, filed on February 15, 2024, by the pro se appellant.
2. **PETITION FOR REVIEW OF DISTRICT COURT'S ORDER/DECISION DENYING FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL**, filed on January 29, 2024, by the pro se appellant.
3. **MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PLRA MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED ON APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS STATUS**, filed on February 15, 2024, by the pro se appellant.

On consideration of the appellant's motions, the district court's order certifying that the appellant has three strikes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and the record on appeal,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion for leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis is **DENIED**. The district court properly determined that, on three or more prior occasions, appellant Quordalis Sanders has brought an action that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). In this case, Sanders has not demonstrated imminent danger of a serious physical injury pursuant to § 1915(g). See *Taylor v. Watkins*, 623 F.3d 483, 485 (7th Cir. 2010). A prisoner who has accumulated three strikes but brings an appeal without prepayment in violation of § 1915(g) commits a fraud on the court by seeking permission to proceed in forma pauperis after a judge has held that § 1915(g) applies to that person, and this fraud requires the immediate termination of the suit and an order forbidding further litigation. *Sloan v. Lesza*, 181 F.3d 857, 859 (7th Cir. 1999); *Newlin v. Helman*, 123 F.3d 429, 436–37 (7th Cir. 1997). Accordingly,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED this appeal is **DISMISSED**. Until Quordalis Sanders has paid in full all outstanding fees and any sanctions in all civil actions he has filed, the clerks of all federal courts in this circuit will return unfiled any papers submitted either directly or indirectly by or on behalf of Sanders. This order does not apply to criminal cases or petitions challenging the terms of his confinement and may be reexamined in two years under the approach of *Newlin*, 123 F.3d at 436–37, and *Support Systems Int'l Inc. v. Mack*, 45 F.3d 185, 186–87 (7th Cir. 1995). This order also does not apply to any suit that Sanders files while in imminent danger of serious physical injury, and that requests judicial aid in bringing that danger to an end. Whether such a danger exists is a question for the district court in the first instance. If a claim of imminent danger is made, clerks of court should accept Sanders's papers until the district judge rules on the claim.