

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

Everett McKinley Dirksen United States Courthouse
Room 2722 - 219 S. Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604



Office of the Clerk
Phone: (312) 435-5850
www.ca7.uscourts.gov

SANCTION ORDER

October 9, 2020

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, *Circuit Judge*

MICHAEL B. BRENNAN, *Circuit Judge*

AMY J. ST. EVE, *Circuit Judge*

No. 20-2221	KENNADO K. TAYLOR, Plaintiff - Appellant v. SERGEANT MILLER, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information:	
District Court No: 1:20-cv-01239-HAB Central District of Illinois District Judge Harold A. Baker	
No. 20-2495	KENNADO K. TAYLOR, Plaintiff - Appellant v. MS. MARTIN, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information:	
District Court No: 1:20-cv-01276-HAB Central District of Illinois	

No. 20-2510	KENNADO K. TAYLOR, Plaintiff - Appellant v. NURSE ANITA, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information:	
District Court No: 1:20-cv-01277-HAB Central District of Illinois	
No. 20-2591	KENNADO K. TAYLOR, Plaintiff - Appellant v. J. PARTT, et al., Defendants - Appellees
Originating Case Information:	
District Court No: 1:20-cv-01291-HAB Central District of Illinois	

The following are before the court:

1. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2221, by the pro se appellant.

2. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2221, by the pro se appellant.

3. DECLARATION OF KENNADO K. TAYLOR THAT I FILE MY GROUNDS FOR APPEAL IN GOOD FAITH, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2221, by the pro se appellant.

4. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2495, by the pro se appellant.

5. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2495, by the pro se appellant.

6. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on September 28, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2495, by the pro se appellant.

7. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2495, by the pro se appellant.

8. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on September 28, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2495, by the pro se appellant.

9. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2510, by the pro se appellant.

10. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, filed on September 28, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2510, by the pro se appellant.

11. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2510, by the pro se appellant.

12. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, filed on September 4, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2591, by the pro se appellant.

13. AFFIDAVIT ACCOMPANYING MOTION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2591, by the pro se appellant.

14. MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL, FILED ON BY THE PRO SE APPELLANT, filed on August 31, 2020, in appeal no. 20-2591, by the pro se appellant.

The court has carefully reviewed the requests for leave to proceed as a pauper on appeal, the appellant's motions filed under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 24, the district court's orders pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) certifying that the appeals were filed in bad faith, and the records on appeal. A review of these cases indicates that the appellant Kennato Taylor is not permitted to proceed in forma pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The appellant has, on three or more prior occasions, brought an action or appeal that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. *See, e.g., Taylor v. Doe, et al.*, No. 17-cv-2347 (N.D.Ill. dismissed June 2, 2017); *Taylor v. Doe, et al.*, No. 17-cv-2348 (N.D.Ill. dismissed June 2, 2017); *Taylor v. Doe, et al.*, No. 17-cv-2349 (N.D.Ill. dismissed June 5, 2017); *Taylor v. Doe, et al.*, No. 17-cv-5537 (N.D.Ill. dismissed September 22, 2017); *Taylor v. Doe, et al.*, No. 17-cv-6001 (N.D.Ill. dismissed September 22, 2017). Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the motions for leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis are **DENIED**. *See Lee v. Clinton*, 209 F.3d 1025 (7th Cir. 2000). Appellant Kennato Taylor has not raised a good faith issue that the district court erred in dismissing these cases due to Taylor's three strikes. Taylor shall pay the required docketing fees within 14 days, or these appeals will be dismissed for failure to prosecute pursuant to Circuit Rule 3(b). *See Newlin v. Helman*, 123 F.3d 429, 434 (7th Cir. 1997).

Unpaid docket fees incurred by litigants subject to § 1915(g) lead straight to an order forbidding further litigation. *See Newlin*, 123 F.3d at 436-37. Accordingly, until Taylor has paid in full all outstanding fees in the district court and in this court, the clerks of all federal courts in this circuit will return unfiled any papers submitted either directly or indirectly by or on behalf of Taylor. *See Sloan v. Lesza*, 181 F.3d 857, 859 (7th Cir. 1999). This order does not apply to criminal cases or petitions challenging the terms of his confinement, and may be reexamined in two years under the approach of *Newlin*, 123 F.3d at 436-37, and *Support Systems Int'l Inc. v. Mack*, 45 F.3d 185, 186-87 (7th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). This order also does not apply to any suit that Taylor files while in imminent danger of serious physical injury, and that requests judicial aid in bringing that danger to an end. Whether such a danger exists is a question for the district court in the first instance. If a claim of imminent danger is made, clerks of court should accept Taylor's papers until the district judge rules on the claim.