United States Court of Appeals

For the Seventh Circuit Chicago, Illinois 60604

August 11, 2021

Before

FRANK H. EASTERBROOK, Circuit Judge

ILANA DIAMOND ROVNER, Circuit Judge

THOMAS L. KIRSCH II, Circuit Judge

No. 21-1831

REBEKAH A. ATKINS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

Appeal from the United States
District Court for the Southern District
of Indiana, Indianapolis Division.

v.

No. 1:21-cv-00898-SEB-MJD

ROGER A. G. SHARPE, et al., *Defendants-Appellees*.

Sarah Evans Barker, *Judge*.

ORDER

On July 19, 2021, we affirmed the district court's dismissal of Rebekah Atkins's complaint as factually frivolous. Because Atkins's underlying complaint and her appeal were both frivolous, we directed her to show cause why this court should not sanction her with loss of the privilege of filing in forma pauperis.

Atkins's response provides no reason not to impose sanctions. Indeed, she uses her response to raise again the same frivolous allegations that employees of multiple clerks' offices and the judiciary, now including this court, have conspired to prevent her from accessing a secret version of the Public Access to Electronic Records system. Accordingly,

No. 21-1831 Page 2

IT IS ORDERED that Rebekah Atkins is no longer permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in noncriminal matters in any court within this circuit. Atkins must pay the full filing fees for both her complaint in the district court and the appeal taken to this court. Unless and until she pays all outstanding filing fees, the clerks of all federal courts in this circuit are directed to return unfiled any papers submitted by or on behalf of Atkins. See In re City of Chicago, 500 F.3d 582, 585–86 (7th Cir. 2007); Support Sys. Int'l, Inc. v. Mack, 45 F.3d 185, 186 (7th Cir. 1995) (per curiam). In accordance with our decision in Mack, exceptions to the filing bar and in forma pauperis bar are made for criminal cases and for applications for writs of habeas corpus. See 45 F.3d at 186–87. The filing bar will be lifted immediately once Atkins makes full payment, but she will be required to pay any future filing fees in full. See In re City of Chicago, 500 F.3d at 585–86. Atkins is authorized to submit to this court a motion to modify or rescind this order no earlier than two years from the date of this order. See id.; Mack, 45 F.3d at 186.

Additionally, any communications with the judges of this court or the district court must be confined to properly filed pleadings or correspondence directed to the Clerk of either court.